

1 JOSEPH H. HUNT  
2 Acting Assistant Attorney General  
3 BRETT A. SHUMATE  
4 Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
5 JOHN R. GRIFFITHS  
6 Director, Federal Programs Branch  
7 CARLOTTA P. WELLS  
8 Assistant Director, Federal Programs Branch  
9 MARSHA S. EDNEY  
10 Senior Trial Counsel  
11 KATE BAILEY  
12 CAROL FEDERIGHI  
13 Trial Attorneys  
14 United States Department of Justice  
15 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
16 P.O. Box 883  
17 Washington, DC 20044  
18 Tel.: (202) 514-2331  
19 Email: Brett.A.Shumate@usdoj.gov

20 Attorneys for Defendants

21

22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

23

24 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

25

26 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, *et al.*,  
27 Plaintiffs,  
28 v.  
29 WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., *et al.*,  
30 Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:18-cv-01865-RS

**NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS'  
POSITION REGARDING SUPREME  
COURT PROCEEDINGS**

Dept: 3  
Judge: The Honorable Richard G. Seeborg  
Trial Date: January 7, 2019  
Action Filed: March 26, 2018

31

32 CITY OF SAN JOSE, *et al.*,  
33 Plaintiffs,  
34 v.  
35 WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., *et al.*,  
36 Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:18-cv-02279-RS

**NOTICE OF DEFENDANTS'  
POSITION REGARDING SUPREME  
COURT PROCEEDINGS**

Dept.: 3  
Judge: The Honorable Richard G. Seeborg  
Trial Date: January 7, 2019  
Action Filed: March 26, 2018

37

38

39

1  
2 At the closing argument on February 15, 2019, undersigned counsel stated that he had not  
3 yet had an opportunity to confer with the Office of the Solicitor General about the effect of the  
4 Supreme Court's Order granting certiorari before judgment in State of New York, et al. v.  
5 Department of Commerce, et al., No. 18-cv-2921 (S.D.N.Y.). After consulting with the Solicitor  
6 General, Defendants write to provide additional information that may inform how the Court  
7 wishes to proceed in this case in light of the Supreme Court's order granting certiorari before  
8 judgment in the New York case. In that case, the government's brief is now due in the Supreme  
9 Court on March 6; the respondents' brief is due April 1; and oral argument is scheduled for the  
10 second week of April. Because a ruling by the Supreme Court in the New York case will be  
11 controlling, if not dispositive, in this case, the government respectfully submits that this Court  
12 should consider deferring its ruling until proceedings in the Supreme Court are complete. If the  
13 Supreme Court affirms the New York judgment, then this case will be moot; and conversely, if the  
14 Supreme Court reverses, then that decision effectively will resolve this case given the scope of the  
15 government's arguments and the overlap with the claims here.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Dated: February 21, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

2 JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Assistant Attorney General

3 s/Brett A. Shumate  
4 BRETT A. SHUMATE  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

5 JOHN R. GRIFFITHS  
6 Director, Federal Programs Branch

7 CARLOTTA P. WELLS  
8 Assistant Branch Director

9 MARSHA S. EDNEY  
Senior Trial Counsel

10 KATE BAILEY  
11 CAROL FEDERIGHI  
Trial Attorneys  
12 United States Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
P.O. Box 883  
13 Washington, DC 20044  
Tel.: (202) 514-2331  
14 Email: Brett.A.Shumate@usdoj.gov

15 *Attorneys for Defendants*

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28